arXiv:hep-th/0601115v1 17 Jan 2006

Solution of Constraints in Theory of Relativistic String

B.M. Barbashov[1] and V.N. Pervushin[1]

[1] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,*
141980, Dubna, Russia

Abstract

The Hamiltonian theory of a relativistic string is considered in a specific reference frame in terms the diffeo-invariant variables.

The evolution parameter and energy invariant with respect to the time-coordinate transformations are constructed, so that the dimension of the kinemetric group of diffeomorphisms coincides with the dimension of a set of variables whose velocities are removed by the Gauss-type constraints in accordance with the second Nöther theorem. This coincidence allows us to solve the energy constraint, and fulfil Dirac's Hamiltonian reduction.

Invited Talk at INTAS Summer School and Conference
"New trends in High-Energy Physics"
Yalta, Crimea, Ukraine, September 10 - 17, 2005

1. Introduction

The main difficulty of the theory of a relativistic string is the group of diffeomorphisms, i.e. general coordinate transformations [1].

There is an essential difference between the frame group of the Lorentz – Poincaré-type leading to a set of initial data and the *diffeomorphism group* of general coordinate transformations restricting these initial data by *constraints*. This difference was revealed by two Nöther theorems [5][1].

Starting position of the paper is the group of diffeomorphisms of this "Hamiltonian frame" known as the kinemetric one in general relativity [6]. This kinemetric group contains global reparametrizations of the coordinate time and local transformations of a spatial coordinate, and it requires to distinguish a set of variables with the same dimension, velocities (or momenta) of which are removed by the Gauss-type constraints from the phase space of physical variables in accordance with the second Nöther theorem.

A similar Hilbert-type [2, 3] *geometro*-dynamic formulation of special relativity (SR) [7] with reparameterizations of the coordinate evolution parameter shows that the energy constraint fixes a velocity of one of the dynamic variables that becomes a evolution parameter. In particular, in SR such a dynamic evolution parameter is well known, it is the fourth component of the Minkowskian space-time vector.

In order to realize a similar construction of the Dirac – ADM Hamiltonian GR [8], one should point out in GR a homogeneous variable that can be a diffeo-invariant evolution parameter in the field space of events [9] in accordance with the kinemetric diffeomorphism group of GR in the "Hamiltonian frame" [6].

Thus, in the standard approach to a relativistic string this homogeneous evolution parameter is not split, so that the dimension of the Hamiltonian constraints does not coincide with the dimension of the diffeomorphism group.

In the present paper, a evolution parameter is identified with the homogeneous component of the time-like variable [10] in accordance with the second Nöther theorem.

2. Diffeo-Invariant Content of a Relativistic String

To illustrate the invariant Hamiltonian reduction let us consider the action for a relativistic string [1] in the form which has been done by L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, P. Howe [11]

$$S = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\int\int d^2u\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu(u_0,u_1)\partial_\beta x_\mu(u_0,u_1), \qquad (u_0,u_1)=(\tau,\sigma), \tag{1}$$

where $x^\mu(\tau,\sigma)$ – string coordinates given in d-dimension space-time ($\mu = 0,1,2,\ldots,d-1$), $g_{\alpha\beta}(u_0,u_1)$ – is a second-rank metric tensor on the string surface (two dimensional Riemannian space u_0,u_1).

Now let us consider the Hamiltonian scheme which is based on the Arnowitt–Deser–Misner parametrization of metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ [12]

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega^2\begin{pmatrix} N_0^2-N_1^2 & N_1 \\ N_1 & -1\end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2 N_0^2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & N_1 \\ N_1 & N_1^2-N_0^2\end{pmatrix}, \quad \sqrt{-g}=\Omega^2 N_0 \tag{2}$$

with the conformal invariant interval

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}du^\alpha du^\beta = \Omega^2[N_0^2 d\tau^2 - (d\sigma + N_1 d\tau)^2], \tag{3}$$

---

[1] One of these theorems (the second) was formulated by Hilbert in his famous paper [2] (see also its revised version [3]). We should like to thank V.V. Nesterenko who draw our attention to this fact [4].

where N_0 and $N_1(\tau,\sigma)$ are known in GR as the lapse function and "shift vector", respectively.

The action (1) after the substitution (2) does not depend on the conformal factor Ω and takes the form

$$S = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_0^l d\sigma \left[\frac{(\dot{x}_\mu - N_1 x'_\mu)^2}{N_0} - N_0 x'^2\right], \tag{4}$$

where $\dot{x}_\mu = \partial_\tau x_\mu$, $x'_\mu = \partial_\sigma x_\mu$ and $\dot{x}_\mu - N_1 x'_\mu = Dx_\mu$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the metric (3). The action (4), the metric (3) and the covariant derivative Dx_μ are invariant under the "kinemetric" transformation $\tau \to \tilde{\tau} = f_1(\tau)$, $\sigma \to \tilde{\sigma} = f_2(\tau,\sigma)$. These transformations of the differentials $d\tilde{\tau} = \dot{f}_1(\tau)d\tau$, $d\tilde{\sigma} = \dot{f}_2(\tau,\sigma)d\tau + {f_2}'(\tau,\sigma)d\sigma$ correspond to transformations of the string coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
&{x_\mu}'(\tau,\sigma) = {\tilde{x}_\mu}'(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma}){f_2}'(\tau,\sigma),\\
&\dot{x}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) = \dot{\tilde{x}}_\mu(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma})\dot{f}_1(\tau) + {\tilde{x}_\mu}'(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma})\dot{f}_2(\tau,\sigma),\\
&N_0(\tau,\sigma) = \tilde{N}_0(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma})\frac{\dot{f}_1(\tau)}{{f_2}'(\tau,\sigma)}, \quad N_1(\tau,\sigma) = \tilde{N}_1(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma})\frac{\dot{f}_1}{\tilde{f}_2{}'} + \frac{\dot{f}_2}{\tilde{f}_2{}'},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$D_\tau x_\mu(\tau,\sigma) = \dot{f}_1(\tau) D_{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{x}_\mu(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\sigma}) \tag{6}$$

The variation S with respect to N_0 and N_1 leads to equation for determination N_0, N_1

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N_0} = \frac{(Dx_\mu)^2}{N_0^2} + x'^2 = 0 \ \Rightarrow N_0^2 = \frac{(\dot{x}x')^2 - \dot{x}^2 x'^2}{(x'^2)^2}, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N_1} = \frac{({x'}_\mu D x^\mu)}{N_0} \ \Rightarrow N_1 = \frac{(\dot{x}x')}{x'^2}, \tag{8}$$

The substitution of these equation in action (4) converts it into the standard Nambu–Goto action of the relativistic string [1]

$$S = -\gamma \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_0^l d\sigma \sqrt{(\dot{x}x')^2 - \dot{x}^2 x'^2}. \tag{9}$$

One can construct the Hamiltonian form of S. The conjugate momenta are determined by

$$p_\mu = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{x}^\mu} = \gamma \frac{\dot{x}_\mu - N_1 {x_\mu}'}{N_0} \Rightarrow \dot{x}_\mu = N_0 \frac{p_\mu}{\gamma} + N_1 {x_\mu}' \tag{10}$$

and density of Hamiltonian is obtained by the Legendre transformation

$$H = p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - L = N_0 \frac{p^2 + \gamma^2 x'^2}{2\gamma} + N_1(px'), \tag{11}$$

then

$$S = -\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_0^l d\sigma \left[p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - H\right]. \tag{12}$$

The secondary class constraints arises by varying S with respect to N_0, N_1

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N_0} = \frac{p^2 + \gamma^2 x'^2}{2\gamma} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta N_1} = (px') = 0. \tag{13}$$

The equations of motion take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta x^{\mu}} &= \dot{p}_{\mu} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}(\gamma N_0 x'_{\mu} + N_1 p_{\mu}) = 0, \\
&& (14) \\
\frac{\delta S}{\delta p^{\mu}} &= \dot{x}_{\mu} - N_0 \frac{p_{\mu}}{\gamma} + N_1 x'_{\mu} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The standard gauge-fixing method is to fix the second class constraints (orthonormal gauge) $N_0 = 1, N_1 = 0$. In this case the equations of motion (14) reduce to d'Alambert ones for x_{μ}

$$\dot{p}_{\mu} = \gamma x_{\mu}'', \quad \dot{x}_{\mu} = \frac{p_{\mu}}{\gamma} \Rightarrow \ddot{x}_{\mu} - x_{\mu}'' = 0, \tag{15}$$

the conformal interval (3) takes the usual form

$$ds^2 = \Omega^2[d\tau^2 - d\sigma^2],$$

but the Hamiltonian (11) in view of the constraint (13) is equal to zero ($H = 0$).

There is another way to introduce evolution parameter as the object reparameterizations in the theory being adequate to the initial "kinemetric" invariant system and to construct the non-zero Hamiltonian. We identify this evolution parameter with the time-like variable of the "center of mass" (CM) of a string defined as the total coordinate

$$X_{\mu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{l}\int_0^l x_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)d\sigma = \langle x_{\mu}\rangle. \tag{16}$$

The reduction requires to separate the "center of mass" variables before variation of the action (4) which after substitution

$$x_{\mu} = X_{\mu}(\tau) + \xi_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma), \;\; x'_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) = \xi'_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma), \tag{17}$$

$$\int_0^l \xi_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) = 0 \tag{18}$$

takes the form

$$S = \frac{\gamma}{2}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left\{ \dot{X}^2(\tau)\int_0^l \frac{d\sigma}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} + 2\dot{X}^{\mu}(\tau)\int_0^l d\sigma\left(\frac{\dot{\xi}_{\mu} - N_1\xi'_{\mu}}{N_0}\right) + \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_0^l d\sigma\left[\frac{(\dot{\xi}_{\mu} - N_1\xi'_{\mu})^2}{N_0} - N_0\xi'^2(\tau,\sigma)\right]\right\}. \tag{19}$$

The usual determination of the conjugate momenta

$$P_{\mu}(\tau) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{X}^{\mu}(\tau)} = \gamma\dot{X}_{\mu}(\tau)\int_0^l \frac{d\sigma}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} + \gamma\int_0^l d\sigma\left(\frac{\dot{\xi}_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) - N_1\xi'_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)}\right), \tag{20}$$

$$\pi_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{\delta S}{\delta\dot{\xi}^{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)} = \gamma\dot{X}_{\mu}(\tau)\frac{1}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} + \gamma\frac{\dot{\xi}_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) - N_1\xi'_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} \tag{21}$$

leads to the contradiction because $P_{\mu}(\tau)$ and $\pi_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)$ are not independent, namely $\int_0^l \pi_{\mu}(\tau,\sigma)d\sigma = P_{\mu}(\tau)$.

Thus for the consistent definition of the momentum of "center-mass" $P_\mu(\tau)$ and momentum of intrinsic variables $\pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma)$ we have to put strong constraint in action (19)

$$\int d\sigma \left(\frac{\dot{\xi}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) - N_1 \xi'_\mu(\tau,\sigma)}{N_0} \right) = 0. \tag{22}$$

Then we obtain the following form of the reduced action:

$$S_{\text{red}} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d\tau \left\{ \dot{X}^2(\tau) \frac{l}{N(\tau)} + \int_0^l d\sigma \left[\frac{[\dot{\xi}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) - N_1 \xi'_\mu(\tau,\sigma)]^2}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} - N_0(\tau,\sigma) {\xi'_\nu}^2(\tau,\sigma) \right] \right\}, \tag{23}$$

where $N(\tau)$ is the global lapse function

$$\frac{1}{N(\tau)} = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l \frac{d\sigma}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} = \langle N_0^{-1} \rangle. \tag{24}$$

For global momenta we get

$$P_\mu(\tau) = \frac{\partial S_{\text{red}}}{\partial \dot{X}^\mu(\tau)} = \gamma \dot{X}_\mu(\tau) \frac{l}{N(\tau)} \tag{25}$$

and for the local (intrinsic) momenta

$$\pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{\partial S_{\text{red}}}{\partial \dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau,\sigma)} = \gamma \frac{\dot{\xi}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) - N_1(\tau,\sigma)\xi'_\mu(\tau,\sigma)}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} \tag{26}$$

with two strong constraints (18) and (22)

$$\int_0^l \xi_\mu(\tau,\sigma) d\sigma = 0, \qquad \int_0^l \pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma) d\sigma = 0. \tag{27}$$

This separation conserves the group of the "kinemetric" transformation (5) and leads to Hamiltonian form of reduced action, in view of

$$\dot{X}_\mu(\tau) = \frac{N(\tau)}{l} \frac{P_\mu(\tau)}{\gamma}; \qquad \dot{\xi}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{N_0(\tau,\sigma)}{\gamma} \pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma) + N_1(\tau,\sigma) \xi'_\mu(\tau,\sigma), \tag{28}$$

we get

$$H = P_\mu \dot{X}^\mu(\tau) + \pi_\mu \dot{\xi}^\mu - L = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left\{ \frac{N_0(\tau,\sigma)}{l} P^2(\tau) + N_0(\tau,\sigma)[\pi^2 + \gamma^2 \xi'^2] + 2\gamma N_1 (\pi \xi') \right\}, \tag{29}$$

$$S = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left\{ P_\mu(\tau) \dot{X}^\mu(\tau) - N(\tau) \frac{P^2(\tau)}{2\gamma l} + \int_0^l d\sigma \left[(\pi \dot{\xi}) - N_0 \frac{\pi^2 + \gamma^2 \xi'^2}{2\gamma} - N_1 (\pi \xi') \right] \right\}. \tag{30}$$

The equation of motion is split into global one

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{X}^\mu(\tau)} = \dot{P}_\mu(\tau) = 0, \qquad \frac{\delta S}{\delta P^\mu(\tau)} = \dot{X}_\mu(\tau) - N(\tau) \frac{P_\mu}{\gamma l} = 0$$

and local one

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \pi^\mu(\tau,\sigma)} = \dot{\xi}_\mu(\tau,\sigma) - \frac{N_0}{\gamma} \pi_\mu - N_1 \xi'_\mu = 0, \quad - \frac{\delta S}{\delta \xi^\mu(\tau,\sigma)} = \dot{\pi}_\mu - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}(N_1 \pi_\mu + \gamma N_0 \xi'_\mu) = 0. \tag{31}$$

The variation of the action (30) with respect to $N_0(\tau)$ results in the constraint

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N_0(\tau,\sigma)} = \frac{N^2(\tau)}{N_0^2(\tau,\sigma)}\frac{P^2(\tau)}{2\gamma l^2} + \frac{\pi^2(\tau,\sigma) + \gamma^2 \xi'^2(\tau,\sigma)}{2\gamma} = 0, \tag{32}$$

here it is necessary to take into account that the variation over the global lapse function (24) leads to

$$\frac{\delta N(\tau)}{\delta N_0(\tau,\sigma)} = \frac{N^2(\tau)}{N_0^2(\tau,\sigma)}. \tag{33}$$

The variation of the (30) with respect to $N_1(\tau,\sigma)$ results in the constraint for local variables

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N_1(\tau,\sigma)} = (\pi \xi'(\tau,\sigma)) = 0. \tag{34}$$

Now we introduce the Hamiltonian density for local excitations

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma) = -\frac{\pi^2(\tau,\sigma) + \gamma^2 \xi'^2(\tau,\sigma)}{2\gamma} \tag{35}$$

and rewrite (32) in the form

$$\frac{N(\tau)}{N_0(\tau,\sigma) l}\sqrt{P_\mu^2(\tau)} = \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)}. \tag{36}$$

One integrates (36) over σ and taking into account the normalization equality (24)

$$\frac{1}{l}\int_0^l \frac{N(\tau)}{N(\tau,\sigma)} d\sigma = 1, \tag{37}$$

it leads to global constraint

$$M_{\rm st} = \sqrt{P_\mu^2(\tau)} = \int_0^l \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)} d\sigma = l\langle \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}} \rangle, \tag{38}$$

where $M_{\rm st}$ is mass of the string. The local part of the constraint (36) can be obtained by substitution (38) into (36)

$$\frac{N(\tau)}{N_0(\tau,\sigma)} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)}}{\langle \sqrt{\mathcal{H}} \rangle}. \tag{39}$$

Finally, after substitution (38), (39) into action (30) we can derive the constraint-shell action, whereas the equation $N(\tau)P^2(\tau)/2\gamma l = \int N_0(\tau,\sigma)\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma) d\sigma$

$$S_{\rm const-shell} = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left\{ P_\mu \dot{X}^\mu(\tau) + \int_0^l d\sigma \left[\pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma)\dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau,\sigma) - N_1(\tau,\sigma)\pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma)\xi'_\mu(\tau,\sigma) \right] \right\}. \tag{40}$$

Again the variation with respect to $N_1(\tau,\sigma)$ results in subsidiary condition $(\pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma)\xi'^\mu(\tau,\sigma)) = 0$. Now in the "center-mass" coordinate system $P_i(\tau) = 0,\quad P_0(\tau) = \int_0^l d\sigma \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)}$ the action (40) takes the form

$$S_{\rm const-shell} = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_0^l d\sigma \left\{ \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)}\dot{X}_0(\tau) + \pi_\mu(\tau,\sigma)\dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau,\sigma) \right\}, \tag{41}$$

which describes the dynamics of a local (intrinsic) canonical variables of a string with non-zero Hamiltonian because (41) can be rewritten in the form

$$S_{\text{const-shell}} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dX_0 \int_0^l d\sigma \left\{ \pi_\mu(X_0,\sigma) \frac{\partial \xi^\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial X_0} + \sqrt{2\gamma \mathcal{H}(X_0,\sigma)} \right\}, \tag{42}$$

where

$$2\gamma\mathcal{H}(X_0,\sigma) = -[\pi^2(X_0,\sigma) + \gamma^2 \xi'^2(X_0,\sigma)]$$

and time $dX_0 = \dot{X}_0(\tau)d\tau$ is invariant with respect to $d\tilde{\tau} = f_1 d\tau$.

In the gauge-fixing method, by using the kinemetric transformation, we have to put $N_0(\tau,\sigma) = 1$, $N_1(\tau,\sigma) = 0$ (this requirement does not contradict to Eq. (37) in view of Eqs. (38), (39)). Then according to [10]

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)} = \frac{1}{l}\int_0^l d\sigma \sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)} = \frac{M_{\text{st}}}{\sqrt{2\gamma}\, l} \tag{43}$$

means that the Hamiltonian $\sqrt{2\gamma\mathcal{H}(\tau,\sigma)}$ is constant. In this case the equations for the local variables obtained by varying the action (42) take the form

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \pi^\mu(X_0,\sigma)} = \frac{\partial \xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial X_0} - \frac{\pi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\sqrt{-(\pi^2 + \gamma^2 \xi'^2)}} = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \xi^\mu(X_0,\sigma)} = -\frac{\partial \pi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial X_0} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left[\frac{\xi'_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\sqrt{-(\pi^2 + \gamma^2\xi'^2)}}\right] = 0. \tag{45}$$

If we put according to Eq. (43) $\sqrt{-(\pi^2+\gamma^2\xi'^2)} = \gamma$, $(M_{\text{st}} = \gamma l)$, then it leads again to d'Alambert equation for $\xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial X_0^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial \sigma^2}. \tag{46}$$

The general solution of these equations in class of functions (27) with boundary conditions for the open string ${\xi'}_\mu(X_0,0) = {\xi'}_\mu(X_0,l) = 0$ is given as usually by the Fourier series

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma) =& \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}[\Psi_\mu(X_0+\sigma) + \Psi_\mu(X_0-\sigma)], \\ {\xi'}_\mu(X_0,\sigma) =& \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}[{\Psi'}_\mu(X_0+\sigma) - {\Psi'}_\mu(X_0-\sigma)], \\ \pi_\mu(X_0,\sigma) =& \gamma\frac{\partial \xi_\mu(X_0,\sigma)}{\partial X_0} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2}[{\Psi'}_\mu(X_0+\sigma) + {\Psi'}_\mu(X_0-\sigma)], \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where

$$\Psi_\mu(z) = i\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{n\mu}}{n} e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{l}z}, \quad {\Psi'}_\mu(z) = \frac{\pi}{l}\sum_{n\neq 0} \alpha_{n\mu} e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{l}z} \tag{48}$$

it is very important to stress that (48) does not contain zero harmonics ($n \neq 0$)). The substitution of ξ_μ and π_μ in this form into (35) and taken into consideration (43) leads to density of Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{4}\left[\Psi'^2_\mu(X_0+\sigma) + \Psi'^2_\mu(X_0-\sigma)\right] = \frac{M^2_{\text{st}}}{2\gamma l^2}. \tag{49}$$

From the constraint (34) $(\pi\xi') = 0$ in terms of the vector ${\Psi'}_\mu$ (47) we obtain

$$\left(\pi_\mu(X_0,\sigma){\xi'}^\mu(X_0,\sigma)\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left[\Psi'^2_\mu(X_0+\sigma) - \Psi'^2_\mu(X_0-\sigma)\right] = 0, \tag{50}$$

then from (49) and (50) finally we get

$$\Psi'^{\,2}_{\mu}(X_0+\sigma)=\Psi'^{\,2}_{\mu}(X_0-\sigma)=-\frac{M^2_{\rm st}}{l^2\gamma}. \tag{51}$$

It means that $\Psi'_\mu(z)$ is the modulo-constant space-like vector and in terms of its representation (48) the equalities (51) can be rewritten

$$-\Psi'^{\,2}_{\mu}(z)=\frac{\pi^2}{l^2}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}L_k e^{-i\frac{\pi k}{l}z}=\frac{M^2_{\rm st}}{l^2\gamma}, \tag{52}$$

where

$$L_k=-\sum_{n\neq k,0}\alpha_{n\mu}\alpha^{\mu}_{k-n}, \qquad L^*_k=L_{-k}\ .$$

Now we can see that the zero harmonic of this constraint determines the mass of a string

$$M^2_{\rm st}=\pi^2\gamma L_0=-\pi\gamma\sum_{n\neq 0}\alpha_{n\mu}\alpha^{\mu}_{-k}, \qquad \alpha^{\mu}_{-k}=\alpha^{*\mu}_{k} \tag{53}$$

and coincides with standard definition in string theory [1], however the non-zero harmonics of constraint (52)

$$L_{k\neq 0}=-\sum_{n\neq 0,k}\alpha_{nk}\alpha^{\mu}_{k-n}=0 \tag{54}$$

strongly differ from the standard theory because they do not depend on the global motion(do not depend on P_μ) and do not contain the interference term $P_\mu\Psi^{\mu\prime}$, because of our constraint (51) we can rewrite

$$l^2\gamma\Psi'^{\,2}_{\mu}(z)+P^2_\mu=0 \tag{55}$$

instead standard one [1]

$$(P_\mu+l\sqrt{\gamma}\Psi'_\mu(z))^2=0. \tag{56}$$

Therefore diffeo-invariant approach coincides with the Röhrlich one [13], which is based on the gauge condition $P_\mu\xi^\mu=0, \quad P_\mu\pi^\mu=0\Rightarrow P_\mu\alpha^\mu_n=0, \quad n\neq 0$. One use of that condition for eliminating the time components ξ_0,π_0 being constructed in the frame of reference $(P_i=0)$ leads to formula (47)–(54), where Ψ'_0 and α_{n0} are equal to zero.

3. Conclusions

The standard approach to a relativistic string is not invariant with respect to the time coordinate transformations. It is the problem as, in diffeo-invariant theories, all observable quantities should be the diffeo-variant ones.

We propose here to solve this problem as in [7], where the reference frame is redefined by pointing out diffeo-invariant homogeneous *"time-like variable"* in accordance with the dimension of the diffeomorphism group.

Just this choice of an evolution parameter simplifies the Hamiltonian equations and leads to exact resolution of the energy constraint with respect to the canonical momentum of the evolution parameter. We have shown that this diffeo-invariant approach to a relativistic string corresponds to the Röhrlich spectrum [13].

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to A.V. Efremov, E.A. Kuraev, V.V. Nesterenko, for interesting and critical discussions.

References

[1] B.M. Barbashov, V.V. Nesterenko, *Introduction in the Relativistic String Theory* (World Scientific, 1990).

[2] D. Hilbert, Nachrichten von der Kön. Ges. der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl., Heft 3, 395 (1915).

[3] D. Hilbert, Math. Annalen. **92**, 1 (1924).

[4] V.V. Nesterenko, *"About Interpretation of the Noether Identities"* Preprint JINR - P2-86-284, Dubna, 1986.

[5] E. Noether, Göttinger Nachrichten, Math.-Phys. Kl., **2**, 235 (1918).

[6] A.L. Zelmanov, Dokl. AN USSR **107**, 315 (1956); A.L. Zelmanov, Dokl. AN USSR **209**, 822 (1973); Yu.S. Vladimirov, *Reference Frames in Theory of Gravitation*, Moscow, Energoizdat, 1982 [in Russian].

[7] B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, and D.V. Proskurin, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, **132**, 1045 (2002).

[8] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc.Roy.Soc. **A 246**, 333 (1958); P.A.M. Dirac, Phys. Rev. **114**, 924 (1959); R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, Phys. Rev. **117**, 1595 (1960).

[9] J.A. Wheeler, in *Batelle Recontres: 1967, Lectures in Mathematics and Physics,* edited by C. DeWitt and J.A. Wheeler , New York, 1968, p. 242; B.C. DeWitt, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).

[10] B.M. Barbashov and N.A. Chernikov, *Classical Dynamics of Relativistic String* [in Russian], Preprint JINR P2-7852, Dubna, 1974; A.G. Reiman and L.D. Faddeev, Vestn. Leningr. Gos. Univ., No.1, 138 (1975) [in Russian].

[11] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, P. Howe, Phys. Lett **B 65**, 47 (1976).

[12] B.M. Barbashov and V.N. Pervushin, Theor. Math. Phys. **127** 483 (2001); [hep-th/0005140].

[13] F. Röhrlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 842 (1975); Nucl. Phys. **B 112**, 177 (1976); Ann. Phys. **117**, 292 (1979).

[14] V.A. Fock, Zs. f. Phys. **57**, 261 (1929).